To Strategic Planning Policy Service, Conwy County Borough Council, P.O. Box 1, Conwy LL30 9GN Replacement Local Development Plan (RLDP) 2018-2033 Preferred Strategy

1

PETITION ABERCONNY LIBDEMS Group Response to LLanrhos Strategic Site Sue Shotler Contact - Name Address 34 Wayn Estyn Dego CONWY 1WY e-mail

The proposed LLanrhos Strategic Site (LSS) fails totally within the extensive Green Wedge Protected Area designated in 1982, in the Llandudno and Conwy District Plan. In order to maintain the separate identities of Deganwy, Llanrhos and Llandudno, and prevent the cleation of a conuibation between the Conwy River and Llandudno Bay

The continuation of this policy is essential in today's political background, when commercial interests are successfully using the Appeal procedure to overfurn Conwy County Borougn Council (CCBC) refusals of Planning permission in locations considered inappropriate and which have been strongly opposed by residents.

The LSS requires approximately 19.5 hectares (47 acies) and is the only proposed Strategic Site on land with Green Wedge protection

Removal of this protection would, regardless of any preferred options - which maybe subject to changetotally expose the site to the priorities of interested parties based on financial interest

The Green Wedge Review and Landscape Sensitivity Assessment prepared by White Consultants for CCBC states that - the area forms an important green countryside buffer of rural character between Llanrhos and Deganwy This view confirms that the reasons for the Council's 1982 adoption of Green Wedge protection are still valid

The Report includes maps showing the proposed LSS located within an existing Special Landscape Area, and also contains numerous references to the significance of important landscape and historical features including the Vardie, Deganwy Castle (SM). Bryniau Tower (SM) and Bodysgallen Hall (LB)

The Consultants view is that to develop the LSS would effectively join Deganwy and Llanrhos which they describe as having separate identities, but if development occurs they state that a gap a minimum of 150m wide is retained, this hardly equates to the existing Green Wedge separation area Part of this proposed 150m wide gap is crossed by overhead power cables which in any event would prevent construction

White also consider that it is possible, in a sensitive part of the site, to create a coherent block in the middle of the Green Wedge This is a matter for concern

There are no definitive proposals within the LSS perimeter on which to comment. The "Indicative Drawing" is merely a Developer's "concept', it is meaningless, misleading and extends the LSS perimeter. At this stage of the review procedure it is not realistic to attempt to present such details which will only be identified during later discussion with interested parties. The RLDP currently is an exercise to identify land offered to CCBC for consideration in order to meet construction targets set by the Welsh Government. These targets are highly controversial.

210

Page 1 of 2

Replacement Local Development Plan (RLDP) - 2018 - 2033 Preferred Strategic Site

Petition ABERCOINT LIBDEMS

Group Response to Llanthos Strategic Site

Contact - Name SUSCASADIE Address BECCAWY CONWY

£

When considering the wider implications of the RLDP review, the following issues have been identified as requiring attention and resolution

e-mail

There is a lack of medical services How is this to be addressed for cuirent and increased future demand?

Council services and operations are already under pressure What additional funding and resources will be available?

Increased traffic congestion, road safety and parking problems which are exacerbated during the tourist season

Loss of agricultural land, habitat and wildlife corridors

Building on green field sites as opposed to prioritising brown field and windfall sites

Drainage and sewage disposal capacity issues

Commercial development when either premises are currently empty or designated sites being used for housing, due to lack of demand

Housing - actual requirements as opposed to infestyle choices and political doctrine

Why are properties already on the market for sale or rent not considered when assessing housing needs?

Why are existing powers not being used to make long term unoccupied properties available? Is the current year on year construction policy realistic and achievable without completely destroying the nature of the region and adversely effecting residents lives?

The only clear issue for consideration by residents regarding the proposed 47 acre LLanihos Strategic Site is the threat of the loss of its Green Wedge protection

The ABERCONNY HBDEMS Group are totally opposed to the development of the Llanrnos Strategic Site on Green Wedge land

The signatures and details of Group members are given below and on the attached sheets

Page 2 of 2.

Date	Sign	Punt	Print
		Name Suson Sholled	Address 34 HWW ESTYN Darchwy
an/0/19			
29/8/19		Kathenine Lewis	B VICA rage the, Ulanducho
29141.0		ROBER ECIZES	22 CANTHI CLUANDUNE
2918119		JONATHAN PATCHETT	9 TUBLIAN RUMS, EVAN CONTY
29/8/19		CHIRISTOPHOR CHONERY	RISBORD HOTOL CLEMENT AVENUE LLANDUDNO,
29/8/19		LEE IGGULDEN	BRYNSIENCYN ANGRERY
29 08 19		J. EDWARDS	CONWY OD. PENMAGNMANK
29/8/17		M G. HUGHES	MAES Y PORTH, ST AGNET PER CONTRY, LL32 8RY
29/8/19		Pat Hauf	REST DAVID'S AND LIBI GHW
29/81 19		DELITH SHOTTER	34, LUDIN ESTIN DEGIN
29.5.19		afthimplier	J, CARRINGTODS TERI MANRWST