To Strategic Planning Policy Service, Conwy County Borough Council, P.O. Box L Conwy LL30 9GN

Replacement Local Development. Plan (RLDP) 2018-2033 Preferred Strategy

Llanrhos Strategic Site

The proposed Llanrhos Strategic Site (LSS) falls totally within the extensive Green Wedge Protected Area designated in 1982, in the Llandudno and Conwy District Plan, in order to maintain the separate identities of Deganwy, Llanrhos and Llandudno, and prevent the creation of a conurbation between the Conwy River and Llandudno Bay.

The continuation of this policy is essential in today's political background, when commercial interests are successfully using the Appeal procedure to overturn Conwy County Borough Council (CCBC) refusals of Planning permission in locations considered inappropriate and which have been strongly opposed by residents.

The LSS requires approximately 19.5 hectares (47 acres) and is the only proposed Strategic Site on land with Green Wedge protection.

Removal of this protection would, regardless of any preferred options - which may be subject to change-totally expose the site to the priorities of interested parties based on financial interest.

The Green Wedge Review and Landscape Sensitivity Assessment prepared by White Consultants for CCBC states that - the area forms an important green countryside buffer of rural character between Llanrhos and Deganwy. This view confirms that the reasons for the Council's 1982 adoption of Green Wedge protection are still valid.

The Report includes maps showing the proposed LSS located within an existing Special Landscape Area, and also contains numerous references to the significance of important landscape and historical features including the Vardre. Deganwy Castle (SM), Bryniau Tower (SM) and Bodysgallen Hall (LB).

The view of the Consultants is that to develop the LSS would effectively join Deganwy and Llanrhos which they describe as having separate identities, but if development occurs they state that a gap a minimum of 150m wide is retained, this hardly equates to the existing Green Wedge separation area. Part of this proposed 150m wide gap is crossed by overhead power cables which in any event would prevent construction.

White also consider that it is possible, in a sensitive part of the site to create a coherent block in the middle of the Green Wedge. This is a matter for concern.

There are no definitive proposals within the LSS perimeter on which to comment. The "indicative Drawing" is merely a Developer's "concept", it is meaningless, misleading and extends the LSS perimeter. At this stage of the review procedure it is not realistic to attempt to present such details which will only be identified during later discussion with interested parties. The RLDP currently is an exercise to identify land offered to CCBC for consideration in order to meet construction targets set by the Welsh Government. These targets are highly controversial.

When considering the wider implications of the RLDP review, the following issues have been identified as requiring attention and resolution:

There is a lack of medical services. How is this to be addressed for current and increased future demand?

Council services and operations are already under pressure. What additional funding and resources will be available?

Increased traffic congestion, road safety and parking problems which are exacerbated during the tourist season.

PTO

Danding on gree	n field sites as opposed to pr	rioritising brown field	l and windfall sites.	
Drainage and sev	age disposal capacity issue	s.		
Commercial deve	lopment when either premis	ede dra cuirrabith abun	illiak dagianathad sitae hair	Yo meed
	o lack of demand.	to currently emp	ly of designated sites ben	rg asea
- '	equirements as opposed to lif		,	, .
Why are propertion which was a second with the work of	es already on the market for	sale or rent not consi	dered when assessing	
-		1	, f	· · · · ·
	owers not being used to mak		(1) 75	
-	on year construction policy ure of the region and advers	•		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		(- () () () () () () ()		•
	e for consideration by reside the loss of its Green Wedge	protection.	office the contract	rategic
am totally oppos	ed to the development of th		, Site on Green Wedge lan	14.5 °
J 11		_	_	
	- خار د ا	1 () () () () () () () () () (والمعتقرين المستمالين الأراب	. ,
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	The section of the se	16 SEPT 2019	•
gnature			16 SEPT 2019	
7 13				
7 13				
7 13	RICHALD.			
int name	RICHARD :		7. LL31 95.	
int name	Pichaed Dielea Cleace	yorojitz nt degann	7. 123 16 18 7. 123 16 16 7. 123 16 16	
int name	PICHALD.	yorovitz nt degann	7.5 3.5 5.8 7.8 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4	
int name	DV ELLEY CLETCE	HOROVITZ NT DEGANN	- 13 3 5 1 8 1 8 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
int name	DV ELLEY CLEACE	HOROJITZ NT DEGANN	- 13 3 5 1 8 1 8 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
int name	DVELLEA CLETCE	HOROJITZ NT BEGANN	13 3 5 5 6 6 8 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
int name	PUELLEA CLETCE	HOROJITZ NT DEGANN	1930 12 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	
ddress!	PICHALS.	HOROJITZ NT BEGANN 11 C 3 CONTRACTOR	1930 18	
ddress!	PUELLEA CLETCE	HOROJITZ NT BEGANN 11 C 3 CONTRACTOR	1930 18	
ddress!	PICHALS.	HOROSITZ SEGANN STORES STOR	13 3 5 5 6 6 8 7 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	